

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

AFRL-SR-BL-TR-00-

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, in gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Service, Paperwork Project, Washington, DC 20503.

0572

sources,
it of this
Jefferson

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final 1 September 1999 - 31 August 2000	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE AASERT: Early Scene Analysis: Rapid Procession of Contours, Surfaces and Objects in Human Vision				5. FUNDING NUMBERS F49620-97-1-0407	
6. AUTHOR(S) Dr. Patrick Cavanagh Psychology Department					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Harvard University 33 Kirkland Street Cambridge, MA 02138				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) AFOSR/NL 801 North Randolph Street, Room 732 Arlington, VA 22203-1977				10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
12a. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED				12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) During 1999-2000, Adrainne Seiffert was supported by this grant. She was in her last year of graduate studies. David Whitney, previously supported by this grant, received his own independent DoD funding but continued work on the projects he had begun under AASERT support. Susan Murunga completed a project during the year extending our work on object recognition. She received no funding but did participate in the grant supported projects, learning how to program and conduct experiments. In our model, recognition starts with an initial, crude 2-D match that selects a "best" prototype to explain the image data. David Whitney has extended this priming of gender recognition in images. Susan Murunga attempted to demonstrate the existence of this early prototype using classical conditioning.					
14. SUBJECT TERMS human vision,				15. NUMBER OF PAGES 3	
				16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclass	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclass	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclass	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT		

20001106 051

Report AFOSR-F49620-97-1-0407

AASERT EARLY SCENE ANALYSIS: RAPID PROCESSING OF CONTOURS, SURFACES, AND OBJECTS IN HUMAN VISION

Patrick Cavanagh
Psychology Department
Harvard University
33 Kirkland Street
Cambridge, MA 02138

1 September 2000

Final Progress /Evaluation Report for Period 1 September 1999 - 31 August 2000

Prepared for

LIFE SCIENCES DIRECTORATE
Bldg 410
Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448

AASERT EARLY SCENE ANALYSIS: RAPID PROCESSING OF CONTOURS, SURFACES, AND OBJECTS IN HUMAN VISION

Objectives

This report covers the AASERT grant that is a companion grant to F49620-98-1-0122 of the same title. It covers the support and training of a single graduate student. The work has concentrated on how 2-D information is built up from the parallel analysis of a set of visual attributes and how this information contacts memory in order to construct 3-D representations of the visual scene.

Research Training Activities

Graduate Students.

During 1999-2000 Adriane Seiffert was supported by this grant. She was in her last year of graduate studies. David Whitney, previously supported by this grant, received his own independent DOD funding but continued work on the projects he had begun under AASERT support.

Undergraduates. Susan Murunga completed a project during the year extending our work on object recognition. She received no funding but did participate in the grant supported projects, learning how to program and conduct experiments.

Accomplishments / New Findings

Object recognition: positive priming. In our model, recognition starts with an initial, crude 2-D match that selects a "best" prototype to explain the image data. David Whitney has extended this to priming of gender recognition in images. Susan Murunga attempted to demonstrate the existence of this early prototype using classical conditioning.

Motion extrapolation, position distortion. When a target is briefly flashed beside a moving object, the flash appears to trail behind the object. Recent articles have suggested that the perceived location of a moving item is assigned ahead of its sensed location to compensate for the continued motion of the object during the inevitable delays of processing prior to perceiving the object. David Whitney showed that the effect is based on latency differences. He published two notes, one in *Nature Neuroscience* (Whitney & Murakami, 1998) and one in *Science* (Whitney & Cavanagh, 2000), and two articles in *Vision Research* (Whitney, Murakami, & Cavanagh, 2000a, 2000b). He followed this up with a discovery of a novel distorting effect of motion on the apparent position of distant, stationary targets. This was just published in *Nature Neuroscience* (Whitney & Cavanagh, 2000).

Visual search for motion. With AASERT support, Adriane Seiffert extended her studies of motion to address the role of attention in visual search for moving targets. A manuscript is in preparation.

Personnel supported

Personnel on the grant: Adriane Seiffert.

Publications supported by this AASERT grant in 1999-2000

- Tse, P. U., & Cavanagh, P. (2000). Chinese and Westerners see opposite apparent motions in a kanji stimulus. *Cognition*, **74**, B27-B32.
- Whitney, D., Cavanagh, P. (2000). The position of moving objects. *Science (Technical Comments)*, **289**, 1107.
- Whitney, D., Murakami, I., & Cavanagh, P. (2000). Illusory spatial offset of a flash relative to a moving stimulus is caused by differential latencies for moving and flashed stimuli. *Vision Research*, **40**, 137-149.
- Whitney, D., & Cavanagh, P. (2000). Motion distorts visual space: shifting the perceived position of remote stationary objects. *Nature Neuroscience*, **3**, 954-959.
- Whitney, D., Murakami, I., & Cavanagh, P. (2000). Temporal facilitation for moving stimuli is independent of changes in direction. *Vision Research*, in press.
- Albert, M., & Tse, P. U. (1999). The role of surface attachment in perceived volumetric shape. *Perception*, **29**, 303-312.
- Whitney, D., Murakami, I., & Cavanagh, P. (1999). Illusory spatial offset of a flash relative to a moving stimulus is caused by differential latencies for moving and flashed stimuli. *Vision Research*, **40**, 137-149.

Interactions, conference papers during 1999-2000 grant period supported by grant

- Whitney, D. V., & Cavanagh, P. (2000). Motion adaptation shifts the apparent positions of remote objects. *Perception*, **29 Suppl.**, 75. (A)
- Whitney, D., Cavanagh, P. (2000). Motion distorts visual space: Shifting the perceived position of remote stationary objects. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, **41**, S741.
- Whitney, D., Murakami, I., & Cavanagh, P. (1999). Persistence does not influence the perceived location of a flash relative to a moving stimulus. *Perception*, **28 Suppl.**, 81. (A)